

Av. de Tervueren 32, box 27 - B-1040 Brussels, Belgium - phone +32-2-743 24 70 - fax +32-2-706 54 30

e-mail: anec@anec.eu - internet: www.anec.eu - TVA/VAT:BE0457696481

## Outcomes of the MarketWatch project and the future of monitoring, verification, and enforcement of product policy in Europe

2 March 2016, Brussels, Belgium

## The role of civil society in monitoring regulation

Stephen Russell, ANEC Secretary General

I am very pleased to speak on behalf of ANEC, the European consumer voice in standardisation. A final event should be a celebration, and I think the collaboration among so many partners in MarketWatch is a cause for celebration, even if the results make unhappy reading for consumers, and those manufacturers and retailers who play by the rules.

Perhaps I should say a little about ANEC, although many of you probably know us. ANEC was created in 1995 by national consumer associations and public authorities in the then EEC & EFTA countries.

Our mission is to provide specialist consumer expertise in the development of European standards – and sometimes international standards. We also represent the European consumer interest in the use of standards, and in aiming to influence legislation that intends to make use of standards. Of course, we work closely with the mainstream consumer organisations, BEUC and Consumers International. We receive funding from the EU & EFTA, while national consumer organisations contribute in kind.

Bringing together consumer organisations in 33 European countries, ANEC joined MarketWatch as EU co-ordinator of consumer activities. Our particular role was to open dialogue with national market surveillance authorities. Poor market surveillance was known to be a principal obstacle to the realisation of the potential of the Energy Labelling & Ecodesign Directives. Most experts say



ANEC is supported financially by the European Union & EFTA

EU Transparency Register No. 507800799-30

2

10% to 20% of expected savings are wasted due to non-compliant products on the market, or in

other words, more than 100 TWh of energy savings are missed in the EU each year.

As found by the Ecofys report of 2014, which evaluated the Labelling Directive and aspects of

the Ecodesign Directive, public market surveillance cannot alone ensure an effective check on

compliance. Five Member States were considered active in such market surveillance, six had no

activity, while the level of activity in the rest was found to be no better than low to medium.

MarketWatch has confirmed the consequence that consumers are paying literally too high a price

in buying and using products that are not compliant. At the same time, manufacturers and

retailers who play by the rules are losing out to unfair competition.

This is through no fault of the market surveillance authorities themselves. It has been known for

many years that the resources made available at national level to market surveillance are nowhere

near enough, especially in non-food sectors, and now there is the added challenge of online and

cross-border retail. Regrettably, it is promises to improve the economy, education, or health

service that win national elections, not improving market surveillance and enforcement. Not only

that but priorities vary from Member State to Member State. And there is further fragmentation

at the national level. You've heard that there is separation of responsibilities among the Länder in

Germany. The last I checked, the responsibilities in England and Wales were divided among no

fewer than 200 local authorities.

Only common surveillance activities in all Member States can be truly effective, as the chain of

surveillance & enforcement across the EU is only as strong as its weakest country. ANEC, in an

alliance with business, has looked for more formal agreement at European level on national

surveillance priorities for most of the past decade, as well as commitment of the Member States

to resource those priorities fully, and to introduce criminal penalties that are both punitive and

deterring.

We thought we had it with the Market Surveillance Regulation, proposed by the EC as part of the

Product Safety Package three years ago.

But not at all.

A N E C - The European Consumer Voice in Standardisation

Av. de Tervueren 32, box 27, B-1040 Bruxelles, Tel.: +32 (0)2 743.24.70, Fax: +32 (0)2 706.54.30

anec@anec.eu - www.anec.eu

Raising standards for consumers

3

You may know that the Market Surveillance Regulation, despite being uncontroversial in itself, is

part of the impasse in Council that arises from the other bit of the Product Safety Package, the

Consumer Product Safety Regulation. It is stuck because of a clause parachuted in by the EC

after the Impact Assessment that seeks the mandatory use of country-of-origin labelling. If you

ask me, origin labelling has little to do with safety. It will also be something else for our already

hard-pressed market surveillance authorities to check.

Meanwhile, the interests of consumers and of most industry, are disadvantaged by the failure of

the Market Surveillance Regulation to proceed.

The present revision of the Energy Label provides a further opportunity to strengthen market

surveillance, as does the more recent Single Market Strategy.

PROSAFE, a forum of individual market surveillance officers, still does an excellent job

meanwhile in setting joint actions, and we welcome initiatives such as EEPLIANT that seeks to

build compliance with the legislation on energy efficiency in cooperation with industry. But there

needs to be a new approach to surveillance and enforcement in an internal market in which much

emphasis is placed on self-declaration.

It remains an anomaly that the EU has a mature system of European legislation, a mature system

of European standardisation to support that legislation, but no system of market surveillance &

enforcement.

The third leg of the tripod is missing, and a tripod cannot stand on only two legs.

Given this under-resourcing and fragmentation of public market surveillance, there was indeed

need to test whether private civil society organisations could complement public action in an area

that, although of economic & societal importance, was not critical to safety. It is why ANEC gave

full support to MarketWatch. We found that a partnership is possible at national level and the

value of that partnership has been proven. Indeed, based on our findings, partnerships between

market surveillance authorities and national civil society organisations should be encouraged in

order to help protect both consumers and responsible manufacturers from misleading claims.

A N E C - The European Consumer Voice in Standardisation

Av. de Tervueren 32, box 27, B-1040 Bruxelles, Tel.: +32 (0)2 743.24.70, Fax: +32 (0)2 706.54.30

anec@anec.eu - www.anec.eu

/THI 1		_	1.	
Thank	vou	tor	lıs	tenıng.

Stephen Russell

2 March 2016

A N E C - The European Consumer Voice in Standardisation

Av. de Tervueren 32, box 27, B-1040 Bruxelles, Tel.: +32 (0)2 743.24.70, Fax: +32 (0)2 706.54.30

anec@anec.eu - www.anec.eu