The provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users under the ITS Directive 2010/40/EU

I. Information about the participant				
Please provide your Family name.				
-open reply-(optional)				
Sumer				
Please provide your first name.				
-open reply-(optional)				
Ayse				
Please provide your email address. A notification of receipt will be sent to this address. If the open reply-(optional)	the email address is not valid, the contribution will not be taken into account.			
asu@anec.eu				
In what capacity are you completing this questionnaire? -single choice reply-(optional)	As a professional association			
Please indicate the identification number -open reply-(optional)	507800799-30			
Please specify 'Other' -open reply-(optional)	Consumer organisation			
II. Current situation about safety related traffic information				
3. Is this safety related traffic information reliable? -single choice reply-(optional)				
4. Is this safety related traffic information easy to understand? -single choice reply-(optional)				
5. Is this safety related traffic information useful? -single choice reply-(optional)				
III. Scope and Impact of the provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users				
8. It is important to provide, where possible, road safety related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users across Europe -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree strongly			
harmonise the content of safety messages -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree			
harmonise their means of dissemination -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree			
define a uniform presentation (e.g. pictures, universal	Agree strongly			

language) -single choice reply-(optional)			
define a minimum level of reliability	Agree strongly		
-single choice reply-(optional)	Agree strongly		
define an homogenous geographical coverage	Disagree		
-single choice reply-(optional)	Disagree		
define an organisational framework -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree		
10. What safety related messages should be provided to users in priority? Multiple responses possible -multiple choices reply-(optional)	Ghost drivers (wrong way drivers) - Danger due to reduced visibility - Animal / people / debris on the road way - Blockage of road / tunnel - Unprotected accident area - Adverse weather conditions (e.g. snow storm, flooding, strong wind)		
11. What would be the most appropriate channels of communication to provide safety related traffic information to users? Multiple responses possible -multiple choices reply-(optional)	Radio (FM) - Radio (RDS-TMC) - Variable Message Signs along the road - Onboard unit / GPS - Personal navigation device - Smartphone applications - Specialised websites		
12. Where would the provision of safety related traffic information to users be the most valuable? Multiple responses possible -multiple choices reply-(optional)	Along motorways across Europe - Along main roads and urban penetration axes across Europe - Along typical rural roads acros Europe		
13. Safety related data collected and/or processed by any service provider (public or private) should be made available to other service providers within a given area or along a given network. -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree strongly		
14. A dedicated organisational framework should be set up to this aimsingle choice reply-(optional)	Agree		
15. Would you rather see the public or private sector in the lead for provisioning safety related traffic information to users? -single choice reply-(optional)	Some form of public-private partnership		
Road safety (e.g. less accidents) -single choice reply-(optional)	High impact		
Traffic conditions (e.g. less congestion) -single choice reply-(optional)	Low impact		
The environment (e.g. less pollution) -single choice reply-(optional)	No Impact		
17. Please provide quantitative evidence if available (i open reply-(optional)	including reference to documents, websites)		
18. Do you expect any other impact due to the provising free of charge to users?	on, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information		

Please specify and provide quantitative evidence if available (including reference to documents, websites) -open reply-(optional)				
IV. Implementation of road safety relate	ed minimum universal traffic information			
19. It is desirable that the EU takes action to ensure the provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users across Europe -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree			
20. EU action to ensure the provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum universal traffic information would be most valuable on cross-border situations in particular. -single choice reply-(optional)	Agree strongly			
21. What action(s) do you think the EU should take to universal traffic information free of charge to users? -open reply-(optional)	ensure and foster the provision, where possible, of road safety related minimum			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	language&) and harmonise the content of safety messages as in cross-border not familiar with the signs or language leading to accidents (e.g. in Iceland 40 $\%$ of			
22. What complementary traffic information beyond th availability, time to destination) would you consider open reply-(optional)	e provision of universal road safety messages (e.g. alternative routes, parking being most useful to users?			
	ecially when using alternative fuels like: natural gas (CNG), liquefied gas (LNG), al vehicles at the destination, because they are usually blocked for a long period of			
23. Do you have any additional comments? -open reply-(optional)				
Concerning question 7 we would like to specify that two answers are possible: Do you generally have access to traffic information and/or services? (e.g. alternative routing, time to destination, fuel consumption&) Yes, for free (with less detailed information, mostly via internet or radio) Yes, through a specific subscription (with more detailed information, mostly via GPS navigation system, internet or radio)				
V. Other questions				
24. Please list reference to any studies or documents also upload relevant documents. -open reply-(optional)	of relevance for this consultation on safety related traffic information. You may			
Received contributions, together with the identity of the contributor, will be published on the Internet, unless the contributor objects to publication of the personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm his or her legitimate interests. In this case the contribution may be published in anonymous form. 25. Do you consent to the publication of your response by the European Commission? -single choice reply-(optional)	Yes			